

MATRIX IDENTITIES CONNECTED WITH THE JACOBIAN CONJECTURE

T. Krasinski

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $f \in \mathbb{C}[x, y]$ be a polynomial in two variables with complex coefficients. f is said to be a *component of an automorphism* if there exists a polynomial $g \in \mathbb{C}[x, y]$ such that $F := (f, g) : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ is a polynomial automorphism of $\mathbb{C}^2$ (i.e. there exists F^{-1} and it is also polynomial).

In turn, f is said to be a *Keller's component* if there exists $g \in \mathbb{C}[x, y]$ such that the jacobian $\text{Jac}(f, g)$ of the mapping $(f, g) : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ is a non-zero constant. Then g is called *associated with f* and the pair (f, g) a *Keller's mapping*.

It is well-known that the famous (and unsolved so far) jacobian conjecture can be formulated in the following way:

(1) *If f is a Keller's component, then f is a component of an automorphism.*

It is easy to show that it suffices to prove (1) for $f \in \mathbb{C}[x, y]$ satisfying the following three conditions which will be called in the sequel the main assumptions:

1. f is of the form

$$f(x, y) = y^m + a_1(x)y^{m-1} + \dots + a_m(x), \quad m \geq 2, \quad \deg a_i \leq i, \quad i = 1, \dots, m,$$

2. for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, $f - \lambda$ is reduced in $\mathbb{C}[x, y]$,

3. $\deg_y f'_x > 0$.

In the paper, using one of the main results in [3], we give conditions for f (which satisfy the main assumptions) equivalent to the fact that f is a component of an automorphism and a Keller's component, respectively (in the latter case under some additional assumptions on f). These conditions are differential matrix identities connected with the matrix

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} a'_1 & a'_2 & \dots & a'_m & & \\ & \ddots & & & \ddots & \\ & & a'_1 & a'_2 & \dots & a'_m \\ m & (m-1)a_1 & \dots & a_{m-1} & & \\ & \ddots & & & \ddots & \\ & & m & (m-1)a_1 & \dots & a_{m-1} \end{bmatrix} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (m-1) - \text{rows} \\ (m-1) - \text{rows}, \end{array} \right.$$

Typeset by $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}$ -TEX

which determinant is equal, up to a non-zero constant, to the resultant $R_y(f'_x, f'_y)$ of the partial derivatives f'_x, f'_y of f with respect to the variable y . Precisely, if $a'_1 = 0, \dots, a'_k = 0, a'_{k+1} \neq 0$, then

$$\det M = (-1)^{k(m+1)} m^k R_y(f'_x, f'_y).$$

In the sequel, for a square matrix $A = [a_{ij}]_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$, $a_{ij} \in \mathbb{C}[x]$, we shall denote by $|a_{ij}|_{x^k}$ k -th derivative of the determinant of A .

2. COMPONENT OF AN AUTOMORPHISM

Theorem A. *Let $f \in \mathbb{C}[x, y]$ satisfy the main assumptions. Then f is a component of an automorphism if and only if the following identities hold*

(A)

$$\sum_{\substack{r_1, \dots, r_i=1 \\ s_1, \dots, s_j=1 \\ r_1 < \dots < r_i \\ s_1 < \dots < s_j}}^{m-1} \begin{vmatrix} a'_1 & a'_2 & \dots & a'_m \\ & & \ddots & \\ & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & a'_1 & a'_2 & \dots & a'_m \\ & & & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \\ & & & & a'_1 & a'_2 & \dots & a'_m \\ m & (m-1)a_1 & \dots & a_{m+1} \\ & & \ddots & \\ & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & m & (m-1)a_1 & \dots & a_{m+1} \\ & & & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \\ & & & & m & (m-1)a_1 & \dots & a_{m+1} \end{vmatrix} \begin{matrix} \leftarrow r_1 \\ \vdots \\ \leftarrow r_i \\ \vdots \\ \leftarrow (m-1) + s_1 \\ \vdots \\ \leftarrow (m-1) + s_j \\ \vdots \\ x^k \end{matrix} \equiv 0$$

for $k = 1, \dots, m-1$, $i, j = 0, 1, \dots, k-1$, $i+j = k-1$ (the above determinant is obtained from the matrix M by replacing the rows with indices $r_1, \dots, r_i, (m-1)+s_1, \dots, (m-1)+s_j$ by appropriate versors $[0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0]$ with the unit at $m+r_1-1, \dots, m+r_i-1, m+s_1-1, \dots, m+s_j-1$ place, respectively).

Remark 1. In particular, for $k=1$ we have the identity

$$\begin{vmatrix} a'_1 & a'_2 & \dots & a'_m \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & a'_1 & a'_2 & \dots & a'_m \\ m & (m-1)a_1 & \dots & a_{m+1} \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & m & (m-1)a_1 & \dots & a_{m+1} \end{vmatrix}_x \equiv 0,$$

and for $k = 2$ we have two identities

$$\sum_{r=1}^{m-1} \left| \begin{array}{cccccccc} a'_1 & a'_2 & \dots & a'_m & & & & \\ & \ddots & & & \ddots & & & \\ & & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & & \\ & & & \ddots & & & \ddots & \\ m & (m-1)a_1 & \dots & a_{m-1} & a'_1 & a'_2 & \dots & a'_m \\ & \ddots & & & \ddots & & & \\ & & \ddots & & & \ddots & & \\ & & & \ddots & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & m & (m-1)a_1 & \dots & a_{m-1} \end{array} \right|_{x^2} \leftarrow r \quad \equiv 0,$$

$$\sum_{s=1}^{m-1} \left| \begin{array}{cccccccc} a'_1 & a'_2 & \dots & a'_m & & & & \\ & \ddots & & & \ddots & & & \\ & & \ddots & & & \ddots & & \\ & & & \ddots & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & a'_1 & a'_2 & \dots & a'_m \\ m & (m-1)a_1 & \dots & a_{m-1} & & & & \\ & \ddots & & & \ddots & & & \\ & & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & & \\ & & & \ddots & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & m & (m-1)a_1 & \dots & a_{m-1} \end{array} \right|_{x^2} \leftarrow (m-1) + s \quad \equiv 0,$$

Remark 2. Obviously in (A) we may additionally assume that $r_p \neq s_q$, $p = 1, \dots, i$, $q = 1, \dots, j$.

Remark 3. The identities (A) give also a set of equations describing coefficients of components of polynomial automorphisms in the space of all coefficients of a polynomial in two variables of degree m .

Proof of Theorem A. Let f satisfy the main assumptions. Denote by u, v new variables and consider the polynomials $f'_x - u, f'_y - v \in \mathbb{C}[x, y, u, v]$. Let

$$Q(x, u, v) = Q_N(u, v)x^N + Q_{N-1}(u, v)x^{N-1} + \dots + Q_0(u, v)$$

be the resultant of these polynomials with respect to the variable y . By definition

(2)

$$Q(x, u, v) = R_y(f'_x - u, f'_y - v) = C \left| \begin{array}{cccccccc} a'_1 & a'_2 & \dots & a'_m - u & & & & \\ & \ddots & & & \ddots & & & \\ & & a'_1 & a'_2 & \dots & a'_m - u & & \\ m & (m-1)a_1 & \dots & a_{m-1} - v & & & & \\ & \ddots & & & \ddots & & & \\ & & m & (m-1)a_1 & \dots & a_{m-1} - v & & \end{array} \right|,$$

where C is a non-zero constant. It is easy to check that $Q(x, u, v) \not\equiv 0$. Moreover, by main assumptions 1. and 2. we have that $R_y(f'_x, f'_y) \not\equiv 0$, i.e.

$$(3) \quad Q(x, 0, 0) \not\equiv 0.$$

In paper [3], Th.3.4 (see also [2], Th.5.2, where the inequality $\text{ord}_{(0)}Q_N \neq 0$ should be replaced by the equality $\text{ord}_{(0)}Q_N = 0$) the following characterization, in terms of Q , of a component of an automorphism was given:

If $f \in \mathbb{C}[x, y]$, $0 < \deg f - 1 = \deg_y f'_y$ and $0 < \deg_y f'_x$, then f is a component of an automorphism if and only if $\text{ord}_{(0,0)}Q_0 = 0$ and $\text{ord}_{(0,0)}Q_i \geq i$ for $i = 1, \dots, N$.

Actually, Theorem 3.4 in [3] is slightly weaker. However, from Remark 11.2 and Theorem 9.4 of that paper we easily obtain the above form of the theorem.

From the main assumptions on f it follows that f satisfies the general assumptions of the cited theorem. So, f is a component of an automorphism if and only if $\text{ord}_{(0,0)}Q_0 = 0$ and $\text{ord}_{(0,0)}Q_i \geq i$ for $i = 1, \dots, N$. These conditions are equivalent to the following

$$(4) \quad Q_0(0, 0) \neq 0, \quad \frac{\partial^{i+j}Q_k}{\partial u^i \partial v^j}(0, 0) = 0, \quad k = 1, \dots, N, \quad i, j = 0, 1, \dots, k-1, \quad i+j \leq k-1.$$

Since for every $k = 1, \dots, N$ and $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\frac{\partial^{i+j+k}Q}{\partial u^i \partial v^j \partial x^k}(x, 0, 0) = \frac{N!}{(N-k)!} \frac{\partial^{i+j}Q_N}{\partial u^i \partial v^j}(0, 0)x^{N-k} + \dots + k! \frac{\partial^{i+j}Q_k}{\partial u^i \partial v^j}(0, 0),$$

then (4) (taking into account (3)) is equivalent to the following:

$$(5) \quad \frac{\partial^{i+j+k}Q}{\partial u^i \partial v^j \partial x^k}(x, 0, 0) \equiv 0, \quad k = 1, \dots, N, \quad i, j = 0, 1, \dots, k-1, \quad i+j = k-1.$$

From form (2) of Q it follows that we have obtained (A) for $k = 1, \dots, N$. Obviously, if $N \leq m-1$ then from (5) we obtain that the identities in (A) are satisfied for $k = N+1, \dots, (m-1)$. In turn, if $m-1 < N$ then directly from identities (A) it follows that they also hold for $k = m, \dots, N$. Hence (5) is also satisfied for $k = m, \dots, N$.

In consequence, (5) is equivalent to (A) in every case.

3. KELLER'S COMPONENT

Theorem B. *Let $f \in \mathbb{C}[x, y]$ satisfy the main assumptions. Then f is a Keller's component for which there exists an associated component g such*

that $\deg_y g \leq m$ if and only if the following identities hold

(B)

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| \begin{array}{cccccccc} a'_1 & a'_2 & \dots & a'_m & & & & \\ & \ddots & & & \ddots & & & \\ & & 0 & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 & 1 \\ & & & \ddots & & & \ddots & \\ m & (m-1)a_1 & \dots & a_{m+1} & a'_1 & a'_2 & \dots & a'_m \\ & \ddots & & & \ddots & & & \\ & & \ddots & & & \ddots & & \\ & & & \ddots & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & m & (m-1)a_1 & \dots & a_{m+1} \end{array} \right|_x \leftarrow k + \\
 & (m-k) \left| \begin{array}{cccccccc} a'_1 & a'_2 & \dots & a'_m & & & & \\ & \ddots & & & \ddots & & & \\ & & \ddots & & & \ddots & & \\ & & & \ddots & & & \ddots & \\ m & (m-1)a_1 & \dots & a_{m+1} & a'_1 & a'_2 & \dots & a'_m \\ & \ddots & & & \ddots & & & \\ & & 0 & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 & 1 \\ & & & \ddots & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & m & (m-1)a_1 & \dots & a_{m+1} \end{array} \right|_x \leftarrow (m-1) + (k-1) \equiv 0
 \end{aligned}$$

for $k = 0, \dots, m-1$, where for $k = 0, 1$ the above identities mean

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| \begin{array}{cccccccc} a'_1 & a'_2 & \dots & a'_m & & & & \\ & \ddots & & & \ddots & & & \\ & & a'_1 & a'_2 & \dots & a'_m & & \\ m & (m-1)a_1 & \dots & a_{m+1} & & & & \\ & \ddots & & & \ddots & & & \\ & & m & (m-1)a_1 & \dots & a_{m+1} \end{array} \right|_x \equiv 0, \\
 & \left| \begin{array}{cccccccc} 0 & 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots & 0 & 1 & \\ 0 & a'_1 & a'_2 & \dots & a'_m & & & \\ & & \ddots & & & \ddots & & \\ & & & a'_1 & a'_2 & \dots & a'_m & \\ m & (m-1)a_1 & \dots & a_{m+1} & & & & \\ & \ddots & & & \ddots & & & \\ & & \ddots & & & \ddots & & \\ & & & m & (m-1)a_1 & \dots & a_{m+1} \end{array} \right|_x \equiv 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. 1. Let f be a Keller's component for which there exists an associated component g such that $\deg_y g \leq m$. Then $g(x, y) = b_0(x)y^m + b_1(x)y^{m-1} + \dots + b_m(x)$, $b_i \in \mathbb{C}[x]$, $i = 0, \dots, m$. It is easy to show that we can assume that $b_0 \equiv 0$. In fact, it is easy to see that from the jacobian condition $\text{Jac}(f, g) = \text{const.} \neq 0$, it follows that $b'_0(x) \equiv 0$. Hence $b_0 \equiv 0$ or $b_0 \equiv \text{const.} \neq 0$. In the first case the assertion is satisfied, whereas in the second it suffices to replace g by $g - b_0 f$.

So, in the sequel we shall assume that

$$g(x, y) = b_1(x)y^{m-1} + \cdots + b_m(x), \quad b_i \in \mathbb{C}[x], \quad i = 1, \dots, m.$$

Additionally, we shall assume that $\text{Jac}(f, g) = 1$. Then

$$1 = f'_{xy}g'_y - f'_{yx}g'_x = (a'_1y^{m-1} + a'_2y^{m-2} + \cdots + a'_m)((m-1)b_1y^{m-2} + \cdots + b_{m-1}) \\ - (my^{m-1} + (m-1)a_1y^{m-2} + \cdots + a_{m-1})(b'_1y^{m-1} + \cdots + b'_m).$$

Comparing the coefficients of the both sides of this equality we obtain the following system of polynomial equations

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
0 & = & -mb'_1 \\
0 & = & (m-1)a'_1b_1 - mb'_2 - (m-1)a_1b'_1 \\
0 & = & (m-1)a'_2b_1 + (m-2)a'_1b_2 - (m-1)a_1b'_2 - (m-2)a_2b'_1 \\
& \dots & \dots \\
0 & = & (m-1)a'_{m-1}b_1 + (m-2)a'_{m-2}b_2 + \dots + a'_1b_{m-1} - mb'_m - \dots - 2a_{m-2}b'_2 - a_{m-1}b'_1 \\
0 & = & (m-1)a'_mb_1 + (m-2)a'_{m-1}b_2 + \dots + a'_2b_{m-1} - (m-1)a_1b'_m - \dots - a_{m-1}b'_2 \\
& \dots & \dots \\
1 & = & a'_mb_{m-1} - a_{m-1}b'_m
\end{array}$$

Considering $b_i, b'_j, i = 1, \dots, m-1, j = 1, \dots, m$ as unknowns we have a square system of $2m-1$ equations. Simple calculations give that for the determinant D of the system we have

$$D = (-1)^{\frac{m(m-1)}{2}-1} m! \begin{vmatrix} a'_1 & a'_2 & \dots & a'_m \\ & \ddots & & \ddots \\ m & (m-1)a_1 & a'_1 & a'_2 & \dots & a'_m \\ & \ddots & \dots & a_{m-1} & & \\ & & m & (m-1)a_1 & \dots & a_{m-1} \end{vmatrix} \\ = (-1)^{\frac{m(m-1)}{2}-1} m! CR_y(f'_x, f'_y),$$

where C is a non-zero constant. Since f is a Keller's component $R_y(f'_x, f'_y) = \text{const.} \neq 0$. In consequence, D is a non-zero constant equal to $(-1)^{\frac{m(m-1)}{2}-1} m! R$,

where $R = CR_y(f'_x, f'_y)$. So, by the Cramer's rule we get formulae for solutions of this system. Simple calculations give

$$b_i = \frac{1}{(m-i)R} \begin{vmatrix} a'_1 & a'_2 & \dots & a'_m & & & & \\ & \ddots & & & \ddots & & & \\ & & 0 & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 & 1 \\ & & & \ddots & & & \ddots & \\ m & (m-1)a_1 & \dots & a_{m+1} & a'_1 & a'_2 & \dots & a'_m \\ & \ddots & & & \ddots & & & \\ & & \ddots & & & \ddots & & \\ & & & \ddots & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & m & (m-1)a_1 & \dots & a_{m+1} \end{vmatrix} \leftarrow i$$

(7)

$i = 1, \dots, m-1,$

$$b'_1 = 0,$$

$$b'_j = -\frac{1}{R} \begin{vmatrix} a'_1 & a'_2 & \dots & a'_m & & & & \\ & \ddots & & & \ddots & & & \\ & & \ddots & & & \ddots & & \\ & & & \ddots & & & \ddots & \\ m & (m-1)a_1 & \dots & a_{m+1} & a'_1 & a'_2 & \dots & a'_m \\ & \ddots & & & \ddots & & & \\ & & 0 & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 & 1 \\ & & & \ddots & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & m & (m-1)a_1 & \dots & a_{m+1} \end{vmatrix} \leftarrow (m-1)+(j-1),$$

(8)

$j = 2, \dots, m.$

From these formulae it follows that identities (B) are fulfilled for $k = 1, \dots, m-1$. Moreover, from the fact that $R_y(f'_x, f'_y) = \text{const.} \neq 0$ we obtain identity (B) for $k = 0$.

2. Assume that identities (B) hold for a polynomial f . From the main assumptions 1. and 2. we have that $R_y(f'_x, f'_y) \neq 0$. So, by the identity (B) for $k = 0$ we obtain $R_y(f'_x, f'_y) = \text{const.} \neq 0$. Hence $\det M = CR_y(f'_x, f'_y)$, $C = \text{const.} \neq 0$, is also a non-zero constant. Denote it by R . Then we define polynomials b_i , $i = 1, \dots, m-1$ by formulae (7) and polynomials $\tilde{b}_j$, $j = 1, \dots, m$ by formulae (8). Additionally, we put $b_m = \int \tilde{b}_m dx$ (an arbitrary indefinite integral of $\tilde{b}_m$). From identities (B) we have

$$(9) \quad b'_i = \tilde{b}_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, m.$$

Besides, from the formulae for b_i and $\tilde{b}_j$, $i = 1, \dots, m-1$, $j = 1, \dots, m$, it follows that they are solutions of system (6). Hence and from (9) we obtain that for $g(x, y) = b_1(x)y^{m-1} + \dots + b_m(x)$ we have $\text{Jac}(f, g) = 1$.

Remark 4. From the proof it follows that the assumption $\deg a_i \leq i$, $i = 1, \dots, m$, in the theorem is superfluous.

Remark 5. A characterization of polynomials, associated with a given Keller's component, can be found in [1].

4 COROLLARIES

Corollary 1. *Let $l \in \mathbb{N}$. If for every $m \leq l$ and arbitrary polynomials $a_1, \dots, a_m \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ such that $\deg a_i \leq i$, $i = 1, \dots, m$, identities (B) imply identities (A) then the jacobian conjecture is true for polynomials of degree $\leq l$.*

Proof. Let (f, g) be an arbitrary Keller's mapping such that $m = \deg f \leq l$ and $n = \deg g \leq l$. Using a linear change of coordinates in $\mathbb{C}^2$ we may assume that both f and g satisfy the main assumptions. If $m \geq n$, then from Theorem B we get that the coefficients $a_1, \dots, a_m$ of f satisfy (B). By assumption we obtain that (A) hold. Hence, by Theorem A, f is a component of an automorphism. It easily implies that (f, g) is a polynomial automorphism.

In the case $n > m$ considerations are similar.

From the above corollary we obtain directly

Corollary 2. *If for arbitrary polynomials $a_1, \dots, a_m \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ such that $\deg a_i \leq i$, $i = 1, \dots, m$, identities (B) imply identities (A), then the jacobian conjecture is true.*

Before we pass to concrete cases let us notice

Remark 6. Without loss of generality we may add to the main assumptions that $a_1 \equiv 0$. It can be obtained by applying to f the known triangular automorphism $(x, y) \mapsto (x, y - \frac{1}{n}a_1(x))$ of $\mathbb{C}^2$.

Let us now consider two cases:

1. $m = 2$. It is easily seen then in this case identities (A) and (B) are equivalent.

2. $m = 3$. In this case, assuming that $a_1 \equiv 0$ (see Remark 6) identities (A) are as follows

$$(10) \quad ((a'_2)^2 a_2 + 3(a'_3)^2)' \equiv 0, \quad a''_2 \equiv 0, \quad a'''_3 \equiv 0,$$

whereas identities (B) are

$$(11) \quad ((a'_2)^2 a_2 + 3(a'_3)^2)' \equiv 0, \quad a''_2 \equiv 0, \quad a'''_3 \equiv 0,$$

It is easy to show that conditions (10) and (11) are equivalent.

From these cases and Corollary 1 we obtain

Corollary 3. *The jacobian conjecture is true for polynomials of degree ≤ 3 .*

REFERENCES

1. Z. Charzyński, J. Chądryński and P. Skibiński, *A contribution to Keller's jacobian conjecture II*, Deformations of Mathematical Structures, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht–Boston–London, 1989, pp. 133–140.
2. J. Chądryński and T. Krasinski, *Sur l'exposant de Lojasiewicz à l'infini pour les applications polynomiales de $\mathbb{C}^2$ dans $\mathbb{C}^2$ et les composantes des automorphismes polynomiaux de $\mathbb{C}^2$* , C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I **315** (1992), 1399–1402.
3. ———, *On the Lojasiewicz exponent at infinity for polynomial mappings of $\mathbb{C}^2$ into $\mathbb{C}^2$ and components of polynomial automorphisms of $\mathbb{C}^2$* , Ann. Polon. Math. **57** (1992), 291–302.

Łódź, December 1993

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ŁÓDŹ, S. BANACHA 22 , 90-238 ŁÓDŹ, POLAND
E-mail address: `krasinsk@plunlo51.bitnet`